

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/980,921	10/26/2001	Amy Verhalen	006593-1966	8257
7590 09/07/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael J. Nieberding, Esq.			CHOI, STEPHEN	
Thompson Hine	e L.L.P.			
2000 Courthouse Plaza NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10 West Second Street			3724	
Dayton, OH 4	15402-1758			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sp	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/980,921	VERHALEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Choi	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<u> June 2005</u> .		
, <u></u>	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-27 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-26 is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20,27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 May 2005</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/980,921

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 June 2005 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 21-26 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the inventions of newly submitted claims are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination with the invention originally claimed.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 21-26 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/980,921 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tweed et al. (US 5,591,072).

Tweed discloses all the recited elements of the invention including a rotatable blade having a sharp edge (102), a drive mechanism (an inherent characteristic of a slicing machine), a blade sharpener assembly having a sharpening stone (38), a deburring stone (58), a retractable shield (80), and a sharpening actuator (28) wherein the retractable shield movable into a position substantially shielding the sharpening stone (Fig. 2) and a position sharpening contact with the blade including moving linearly downwardly toward the blade (Fig. 4, the sharpening stone of Tweed has to move downwardly toward the blade in order to engage a front part of the blade since the blade is disposed at an angle relative to vertical). Regarding claim 27, a frame (50) is associated with the deburring stone, sharpening stone, and retractable shield and is in indirect contact with and movable downwardly by the sharpening actuator (element 50 moves in the same direction as the sharpening stone which moves downwardly in an angle toward the blade).

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20 and 27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/980,921

Art Unit: 3724

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

2 September 2005

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER